

It is the case of the petitioner that he is the owner of the bus bearing No. A.P. 31U-3969, which is plying between Narsipatnam to Chintrakonda with valid permit granted by the Transport department and the departure time of the vehicle is at 5 A.M. It is stated that the 4th respondent-Depot Manager, A.P.S.R.T.C. unauthorizedly plying two buses, one is from Narsipatnam to Gudem and the other is from Narsipatnam to Zerrila everyday without following the timings given to the petitioner by the Transport department. In this regard, the petitioner made representation to the 4th respondent to follow the timings as fixed by the Transport department. He further stated that on 28.11.2001 the 4th respondent along with his staff visited

Abids center, where the bus of the petitioner was parked for boarding the passengers, and obstructed to proceed the bus by putting four RTC buses in front of his bus, as a result there was a quarrel taken place between them. Therefore, the petitioner made a complaint against the 4th respondent and his staff to respondents 2 and 3, but the respondents have neither registered a case nor held any enquiry. Hence, the present writ petition.

Heard both sides.

I am of the considered view that the writ petition is misconceived. If the report given by the petitioner is not taken into consideration and the respondents-police have not registered any case against the 4th respondent and his staff, it is always open to the petitioner to approach a competent Court and file a complaint as provided under Section 200 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, instead the petitioner approached this Court and filed the present writ petition. I do not find any merit in this writ petition.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

(GOPALA KRISHNA TAMADA, J)

04-07-2007
Gsn.